

MALHEUR COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

251 B Street West, #12 Vale, Oregon 97918 Phone (541)473-5185 Fax (541)473-5140

FILE NUMBER:			
	FEE: <u>\$125</u>		
		VARIANCE	
Da	te Received: Planner Initials	, , -	Planner Initials:
	D	DETAILED SPECIFIC WRITTEN REQUEST	
S	tandard/Criterion proposed to be varied: _	6-6-8-8 B 2a Setback: tower height +10%.	* 80 * 1.00 \$ 7.00 \$ 7.00
Dimension or distance normally required:		Tower Height + 10% = 330'	
P	roposed dimension or distance:	264' 4"	
		DETAILED STRUCTURAL INFORMATION	
_	М	IALHEUR COUNTY CRITERIA:	<u> </u>
1.	Exceptional or extraordinary circumstance same zone or vicinity, which result from I owner since the enactment of MCC 6-8-2 Describe the circumstances and explain	ot size or shape, topography, or other circ has had no control:	cumstances over which the property
	Berrett Land, in the vicinity, owns 3,000 ac. of land	which surrounds the 6.8 ac. property owned by the Sta	ate of Oregon. Applicant respectufully suggests
that the collaboratively elected location for the guyed tower which allows maximum retained use of the subject property in no way important		bject property in no way impedes the continued	
enjoyment of use of TL599 (State of Oregon).			

2.	The variance is necessary for the preservation of a property right of the applicant which is the same as that enjoyed by other property owners in the same zone in the area.			
	What property right is denied you by the applicable standards, which is also enjoyed by other property owners in the same zone and area? None.			
3.	The variance would conform with the purposes of MCC 6-8-2 and would not be materially detrimental to property in the same zone or vicinity in which the property is located, or otherwise conflict with the objectives of any county plan opolicy.			
	Describe how approval of the proposed variance would not impact adjacent surrounding properties:			
	The adjacent property, a 6.8 acre sliver along the south side of US-95 is an uninhabited property (Class 961 State of Oregon Improved) indistinct physically			
	from surrounding land. At the north end of the property, immediately adjacent to US-95 there is a structure (canopy) perhaps used for materials storage. No			
	other structures are on the property. The proposed placement of the tower will have no impact on the use of the adjacent property and whichever imposition			
	its very presence entails will not be changed by granting the requested variance.			
4.	The variance requested is the minimum variance which would alleviate the hardship.			
	Reducing the size of the development, altering its configuration, or changing its location must be discussed to justify the proposal:			
	Applicant is responsive to the technical needs expressed by its lessee (T-Mobile) and design/siting preferences expressed by landowner (Berrett Land, LLC).			
	Applicant respectfully requests approval of the variance request because alterations to its configuration would be harmful to landowner without being helpful			
	to 'others.'			
v.	A. New Forms\Variance.docx Last Updated 1/9/2019			

Variance Application Page | 2