

STAFF REPORT

Planning Department File No. 2020-06-028

CONDITIONAL USE APPLICATION FOR ONE NON-FARM DWELLING

Planning Commission Meeting Date: July 23, 2020

1. **APPLICANT:** Jacob & Saije May
2092 Westridge Drive
Ontario, OR 97914
2. **OWNER OF RECORD:** Jacob & Saije May
2092 Westridge Drive
Ontario, OR 97914
3. **PROPOSED ACTION:** Conditional Use approval for one non-farm dwelling.
4. **PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:** Tax Lot 502, T20S, R46E, Sec. 3; Assessors Map 20S46E03; Malheur County Reference #20571.
5. **PROPERTY LOCATION AND DIRECTIONS:** Southwest of Nyssa on Hwy 201 then West on Enterprise Ave 3.4 miles, then north on Heritage Drive 0.6 miles. The site is on the west side of Heritage Drive.
6. **ZONING:** Exclusive Farm Use (C-A1).
7. **PARCEL SIZE:** The parcel is 8.61 acres.
8. **PARCEL USE:** Vacant steep hillside land. Parcel hasn't been farmed and it does not have any water rights.
9. **SURROUNDING USE:** To the north, there are 2 farm dwellings and a temporary hardship dwelling with farmed ground. To the east, there is a non-farm dwelling, approved at the same time as the original CUP request for the subject parcel. To the south and west, is all farm land.
10. **ACCESS:** Heritage Dr via Cloverdale Ave or Enterprise Ave. (Letter Attached)
11. **SANITATION REQUIREMENTS:** A DEQ approved sanitation system would be required.
12. **FIRE PROTECTION:** The parcel is within the Nyssa Fire Protection District.

13. NATURAL HAZARDS: None.

14. WATER RIGHTS: The property does have water rights attached to it.

15. SOIL TYPE: The majority of soils on the property are class VIII with a small portion on the NE side of the property, covered by class III soils.

16. ZONING HISTORY: A conditional use permit for a non-farm partition and a non-farm dwelling was approved in 2013 (2013-02-007). The non-farm partition was recorded on 06/06/2013 (Partition Plat#13-06) and all other conditions of approval were met; however, the non-farm dwelling was not built. The permit expired in 2017.

GENERAL CONDITIONAL USE CRITERIA

MCC 6-6-7 - GENERAL CRITERIA TO EVALUATE SUITABILITY: In considering the suitability of proposed conditional uses, the Planning Commission shall base its decision upon the following criteria:

A. Comprehensive Plan goals and policies, as applicable.

B. Specific plan recommendations

Proposed Finding: MCC 6-6-8-1 regulates the conditional use process for a non-farm dwelling.

C. Existing development and viewpoints of property owners in the surrounding area.

Proposed Finding: Letter notice was sent to adjoining landowners on June 29, 2020 and published in the Argus Observer on July 3, 2020. No comments were received.

D. Availability of services and utilities.

Proposed Finding: The parcel is located within the Nyssa Rural Fire Protection District. All services and utilities are available.

E. The effect of the proposed use on the stability of the community's social and economic characteristics.

Proposed Finding: The proposed dwelling will have no negative effect on the farming/ranching practices that would interfere with the stability of the community's social and economic characteristics.

F. It does not interfere with traditional fish and wildlife use of habitats determined critical or sensitive in the Fish and Wildlife Habitat Protection Plan for Malheur County.

Proposed Finding: The proposed dwelling is not located in an area that contains fish or wildlife deemed critical or sensitive, and will not interfere with traditional fish and wildlife.

G. General Criteria

1. Increasing setbacks of structures to reduce possibilities of overshadowing adjoining property, noise, odor or night lighting nuisances.

Proposed Finding: The proposal is to build a house with a garage on this parcel. The proposed residence will not overshadow adjoining property. This criterion is met.

2. Landscaping improvements for the visual benefits of the subject site and for the improved appearance of the neighborhood and County.

Proposed Finding: The proposed dwelling will not deteriorate the appearance of the neighborhood. This criterion is met.

3. Location and size of driveway access points and right-of-way widening and improvement for present and future traffic circulation and safety.

Proposed Finding: The subject parcel has access from Heritage Drive, via easement through the neighboring property to the east. No new access must be obtained and no culvert is required (see attached letter).

4. Visual screening of outdoor waste and storage areas.

Proposed Finding: The proposed development is for a dwelling. Outdoor waste storage will be minimal. This criterion is met.

5. Control and focusing of outdoor lighting to avoid glare being directed beyond property limits.

Proposed Finding: Control of outdoor lighting will not be necessary.

6. Special criteria listed below, as applicable:

H. Allowance of Certain Uses: A use allowed under Section 6-3A-3 of this Title shall be approved only where it is found that the use will not:

1. Force a significant change in accepted farm or forest practices on surrounding lands devoted to farm or forest use; or
2. Significantly increase cost of accepted farm or forest practices on surrounding lands devoted to farm or forest use. (Ord. 86, 12-7-1993)

Proposed Finding: This home will not have any impact to any current use in the area. No activities will conflict with grazing or farming. The proposed non-resource dwelling will not force significant change in accepted farm or forest practices on surrounding lands devoted to farm use, nor significantly increase the cost of accepted farm practices.

SPECIFIC CONDITIONAL USE CRITERIA

MMC 6-6-8-1 - NONRESOURCE DWELLINGS IN EFU, ERU OR EFFU ZONES:

A. The use:

1. Is compatible with farm uses and is consistent with ORS 215.243; and

Proposed Finding: The granting of this application will not result in loss of natural resources, and the amount of open land used for agriculture use on the neighboring properties will not change. Therefore, the proposed use is compatible with farm use.

2. Does not interfere seriously with accepted farming practices on adjacent lands; and

Proposed Finding: The subject parcel and the neighboring parcel to the east were both previously approved for non-resource dwellings. These parcels sit on hillside, poor soils and have not been farmed.

3. Does not materially alter the stability of the overall land use pattern of the area; and

Proposed Finding: The proposed development is for a single family dwelling, to be placed in the middle of the subject parcel, which has no water rights. It is not located near any irrigation canals or ditches. Access to the property does not share an existing road used for farming that may be an impediment to sound farming practices.

Added by OAR 660-033-130(4)(a)(D) - June 1, 1998: In determining whether a proposed non-farm dwelling will alter the stability of the land use pattern in the area, a county shall consider the cumulative impact of possible new non-farm dwellings and parcels on other lots or parcels in the area similarly situated. To address this standard, the county shall;

- i. Identify a study area for the cumulative impacts analysis. The study area shall include at least 2000 acres or a smaller area not less than 1000 acres, if the smaller area is a distinct agricultural area based on topography, soil types, land use pattern, or the type of farm or ranch operations or practices that distinguish it from other adjacent agricultural areas. Findings shall describe the study area, its boundaries and the location of the subject parcel within this area, why the selected area is representative

of the land use pattern surrounding the subject parcel and is adequate to conduct the analysis required by this standard. Lands zoned for rural residential or other urban or non-resource uses shall not be included in the study area.

- ii. Identify within the study area the broad types of farm uses (irrigated or non-irrigated crops, pasture or grazing lands), the number, location and type of existing dwellings (farm, non-farm, hardship, etc.), and the dwelling development trends since 1993. Determine the potential number of non-farm / lot-of-record dwellings that could be approved under subsections (3)(a), (3)(d) and section (4) of this rule, including identification of predominant soil classifications, the parcels created prior to January 1, 1993, and the parcels larger than the minimum lot size that may be divided to create new parcels for non-farm dwellings under ORS 215.263(4). The findings shall describe the existing land use pattern of the study area including the distribution and arrangement of existing uses and the land use pattern that could result from approval of the possible non-farm dwellings under this subparagraph:
 - iii. Determine whether approval of the proposed non-farm / lot-of-record dwellings together with existing non-farm dwellings will materially alter the stability of the land use pattern in the area. The stability of the land use pattern will be materially altered if the cumulative effect of existing and potential non-farm dwellings will make it more difficult for the existing types of farms in the area to continue operation due to diminished opportunities to expand, purchase or lease farmland, acquire water rights or diminish the number of tracts or acreage in farm use in a manner that will destabilize the overall character of the study area.
4. Is situated on generally unsuitable land for the production of farm crops or livestock considering the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, location and size of tract.

- B. As a condition of approval, the owner is required to allow the following statement to be entered into the chain of title for the non-farm parcel: (the use of a straw-man may be necessary):

“The property herein described is situated in or near a resource (farm or ranch) zone, where the intent is to encourage agricultural use and minimize conflicts with non-resource uses. Non-resource residents may be subjected to common, customary and accepted farm and ranch practices that are conducted in accordance with federal and state laws but ordinarily and necessarily produce noise, dust, smoke and other impacts. The grantees, including their heirs, assigns and lessees, by the recording of this statement, and in return for allowing a non-resource dwelling on this property, hereby accept the potential impacts of accepted farm and ranch practices as normal and necessary and part of the risk of establishing a dwelling in this area, and grantee acknowledges the need to avoid activities that conflict with nearby resource uses.” Evidence shall be provided showing the lot or parcel upon which the dwelling is proposed to be located has been disqualified for valuation at true cash value for farm use under ORS 308.113.

Proposed Finding: The non-resource dwelling will be located on land that is not farmable due to the lack of soils. The “Acknowledgement of Farm Practices” statement has been previously recorded in the chain of the title of the property, during the 2013 non-farm partition approval (CUP 2013-02-007, Partition Plat#13-06) and the permanent farm use disqualifier for tax valuation was applied to the property starting with tax year of 2013-2014.

OTHER PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

The applicant has submitted additional proposed findings of fact in the conditional use application.

PROPOSED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. Adequate firebreaks shall be constructed and maintained to minimize danger from potential wildfire.
2. This approval is valid for four years from the date of this order. Substantial action must be taken within this time period or the approval will lapse.

EXHIBITS

1. Letter Nyssa Rural Road Assessment
2. Partition Plat No. 13-06